



Communism is treason—fight it with common sense

still **5** cents

The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper

Common Sense

"NEWS
KEPT OUT OF
PRINT"

NINTH YEAR ISSUE 224

FEBRUARY 15, 1955

\$1.00 PER YEAR

WHAT WORLD GOVERNMENT MEANS

Taken from
National Economic Council Letter No. 356

Many thinking people in America are only now awakening to the danger of their country being forced into a world government, probably through the United Nations. Under world government America would lose her liberty and independence.

Former Supreme Court Justice Owen J. Roberts, president of the Atlantic Union, in a speech in Ottawa on April 10, 1952, said, "We must decide whether to stand on the 'silly shibboleth of national sovereignty'." He further asserted that we must yield our sovereignty to "some higher authority, call it what you will."

Milton Eisenhower, the President's brother and perhaps his closest adviser, is a well known advocate of world government. The Administration's most forceful action to date has been to prevent the passage of the Bricker Amendment, which was designed to safeguard our liberties from alien interference.

The United Nations charter, Section 7 of Article 2, says that "Nothing contained in the present charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state." Had it not been for this provision, the Charter would not have been ratified by the Senate in 1945.

Yet through activities initiated by certain specialized agencies of UN, such as ILO, the Economic and Social Council, UNESCO, as well as UN itself, this provision is being violated right and left. Indeed, on March 3, 1954 the Human Rights Commission voted not to include in the Covenant on Human Rights, any provision recognizing the right of an individual to own property and be secure in its enjoyment against arbitrary seizure by government.

Sections 55 and 56 of the Charter say that the member nations shall take steps to improve standards of living within their borders. These provisions were played down in the Senate Charter debate in 1945 as merely pious aspirations.

Did the Senate have any reason to believe that in 1953 and 1954 several justices of the Supreme Court (as in the steel seizure case in June, 1953, and in the cemetery case in 1954) would hold that by mere ratification of the UN Charter, the United States had ceded her sovereignty over domestic affairs to this international body? Did the Senate have any reason to suspect that the United States would be bound to apply highly socialistic schemes in violation of the American system of private enterprise and individual freedom? Did the Senate realize then that any American Administration would ever go all out to destroy a Bricker Amendment, which was designed to protect the liberty and sovereignty won in 1781?

Had the Senate foreseen these developments the Charter would have been overwhelmingly rejected by the Senate in 1945.

Alger Hiss and other Communists or fellow-travelers had a powerful hand in framing the UN Charter at San Francisco. The whole Soviet course since even before 1945 has indicated its determination to bring about world government—which Soviet Russia would of course dominate. Therefore, it seems highly likely that the tremendous propaganda for world government, which has had great support from the Truman and Eisenhower Administrations, is inspired directly from Moscow. And this view is strengthened by the fact that Communists and left-wing writers, commentators, ministers of the gospel and teachers ardently support this left-wing propaganda.

A meeting of representatives of some 60 governments is planned in San Francisco for the week ending June 26, to celebrate the 10th anniversary of the signing of the Charter. The purpose is to propagandize further the ideas of

(Continued on Page 2)



HAWAIIAN STATEHOOD

Taken from Young Men of America, Dallas, Tex

The Territory of Hawaii's candidacy for statehood in the Union should be rejected. The strategic location of the Hawaiian Islands makes them of utmost concern to the Federal government. With vast military, naval, and air installations, with numerous government personnel, and with vast storehouses of weapons and supplies, the islands bear in their sum total of Federal activities a relationship to the United States more comparable, perhaps, to the District of Columbia than to any one of the forty-eight states. In view of the needs of national defense it is difficult to see how the Federal government could afford—in some contingency—to entrust to a Hawaiian state government all the functions carried out by governments in Continental United States. This is particularly true because the Oriental population of the Hawaiian Islands is said to constitute about 85% of the total, and it is increasing, whereas North Americans in the Islands have a tendency to move to the mainland. With the population what it is, the state administration and also the Hawaiian representation in the United States Senate and House of Representatives in the future almost certainly would (and should) be Oriental, probably Japanese or Chinese.

Partnerships in wars are never permanent. We have twice fought in major wars against Britain and have twice been her ally. As recently as in World War II we fought against Germany and now we are trying to secure her as a friend and partner in Europe. It is not possible for anyone to be sure at the present time that in the future we may not be involved in war with Japan or China. Also, it is not possible to state whether in a future crisis the Oriental senators from Hawaii might favor their nation, the United States, or their racial brothers in arms.

—(Continued on Page 2)—

For Your Health's Sake Is Salk Polio Vaccine Safe

Write Citizens Medical Reference Bureau, Inc., Setauket, L. I. N. Y., for Analysis (FREE). Polio Prevention, Inc., Box 7131, Coral Gables, Fla. Should Be Contacted for Results (25c). Free samples. Ask Health Research, Mokelumne Hill, Calif., About Prevention. (FREE). Fluoridation and Chlorination, American Press, La Crosse, Wis. 35c

ONLY TIE VOTE SAVED US

On July 15th 1st, The Leader carried an editorial entitled "Shall We Get Out of the United Nations?" We gave a number of reasons why it seemed vital to American Security and welfare to get out of that entangling alliance. This week there was a development that emphasized our getting out should not be delayed.

Last week our national constitution, the bulwark of American Independence and liberty, was saved by the narrow squeak of a tie vote in the Supreme Court.

The point of issue was whether our membership in United Nations had subordinated our constitution to the United Nations charter.

In the interpretation of what we had done when we ratified the United Nations charter, four supreme court justices ruled that we had subordinated our constitution to the United Nations charter. Four ruled that we had not. The constitutions was saved by a tie vote. That's getting pretty close to the end for our constitution.

The case came before the supreme court on a request for a ruling as to whether a contract made under the authorization of a state law, legal under our constitution, was valid if it violated a provision of the United Nations charter. There was no claim at all that the law violated the constitution of the United States. So it was a clean cut case — our constitution vs. the United Nations charter and our constitution won out only because the vote was a tie.

And there was an opportunity for a tie only because the death of Justice Jackson left but eight justices on the court.

It should be remembered that the supreme court does not pass on the merits of a law that is before it for consideration. It decides only if the law is valid under the rules, that is, for the constitution. And in this case half the supreme court ruled that when we ratified the charter of the League of Nations and thereby became a member of that organization WE AUTOMATICALLY MADE THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS CHARTER SUPERIOR TO OUR CONSTITUTION.

That shows how close we came to losing our constitution.

We may not be so lucky next time.

If joining the United Nations has the possibility of surrendering our constitution to a foreign outfit which we do not and never can control, and most of the members of which hate us, isn't it just the prudent thing to get out of it as soon as we can?

As time passes it becomes more and more apparent that the international hysteria and world uplift foolishness that rushed us into the dangerous mess was a mistake, a mistake that conceivably might mean the end of our republic.

Is there anything more important for Americans to consider today than how to get out of the United Nations or at least change our relation to it so that American independence is safeguarded?

From The Long Prairie Leader —
WHY OPPOSE RED CHINA'S ENTRANCE INTO UNITED NATIONS? THE UNITED STATES SHOULD GET OUT AND GET THE U.N. OUT OF THE U.S.

A REAL CASE AGAINST THE JEWS

Marcus Eli Ravage
Century Magazine, January, 1928.

Of course you resent us—no use saying you don't. You resent us, but cannot exactly say why. For 15 centuries you have been putting up justifications for yourselves and each new invention is more laughable than the last.

You used to call us money-grubbers—now you complain that no art nor profession is safe against Jewish invasion.

We are all that—have been at the bottom of all your wars and every major revolution in your history, have brought discord and confusion and frustration into your personal and public life—are still doing it—and shall go on doing it.

1000 years ago you were an ignorant, care-free, pagan race, with a noble, sensual culture, no social conscience nor ideas of human equality.

But we did not leave you alone, we changed the whole course of your history as no conquest of yours ever subjugated Africa or Asia. We did it with the irresistible might of our spirit, with ideas, with propaganda.

(Continued on Page 2)

WHAT WORLD GOVERNMENT MEANS

(Continued from Page 1)

the UN and world government. American taxpayers will pay a substantial part of the cost of this propaganda.

The specialized agencies of the UN are siphoning off, bit by bit, the sovereignty of the United States; and some of them have already made substantial headway.

Some of our acquaintance, on being apprised of what has been taking place, have dismissed the matter with the assertion that "America would never stand for it!"

Therefore, it may be well to take a look at what the planners are scheming to do after they have pushed through a world government.

On pages 2 and 3 of this Letter is a map which we suggest our readers study and ponder. It may prove enlightening. It indicates the trend of the thinking among world-planners, of whom the UN crowd are a large part.

The one-worlders' plan as indicated on this map, was adopted in London in 1952 by the World Association of Parliamentarians for World Government. In Council Letter 312, June 1953, Douglas Reed discussed the scheme at some length. We have not heard that the plan has been modified since, though at a meeting of this same group in 1954 in London, proposals were adopted for revising the UN Charter and converting the UN into a world government. Incidentally, Mr. Reed noted in Letter 312 that "The United World Federalists, located in the United States, work in close harmony with WAPWG."

Now the one-world people, not without reason, believe that world government could be maintained only by force. That force would be the troops of the "World Security Land Forces." They realize, too, that if the troops, or their commander, were assigned to duty in their own country, sympathy might be shown to their fellow countrymen. The one-worlders don't propose to take any nonsense from sentiment or what was once known as love of country.

So, as the map shows, they have divided the world into zones and regions. North America is a Zone. South America and Africa are each a separate zone.

The United States, which constitutes the central part of the North American zone, would consist of 5 regions. Region 64, consisting of the southern and southwestern states, would be policed by Soviet Russian troops. Region 62, the Pacific Coast states, by Irish troops; Region 65, the northeastern states, by Colombian and Venezuelan; Region 66, including a part of southern California, by Mongolian; and Region 63, by Belgian troops.

American troops are to be stationed in Australia, Argentina, India, south central Asia, the Balkans and Austria.

The Director of the zone and the Directors of each of the five regions in which the United States would fall, would be natives of other parts of the world.

Does all this seem fantastic?

Well, the head of the Latvia government in exile, Mr. Zarins, told us in London two years ago that, when the Soviets took over Latvia, most of the able-bodied Latvian men were sent to Siberia, and Mongol men were brought in and forced on the Latvian women.

If the present trend toward surrendering our liberty and independence continues, a world government could do practically anything it saw fit to our Republic and its citizens — repeating, if it desired, even what the Soviets did to Latvia.

Many of our statesmen the past 25 years, have subordinated American interests to those of other countries and other peoples. It shows in immigration, in the so-called Trade Agreements Act, in reckless spending of almost every nature, in numerous executive agreements and treaties under which we have committed ourselves to fight wars in every part of the world.

Thus we have spent and mortgaged ourselves to a point where our taxes and public debt are greater than those of all other nations in the world outside the Iron Curtain. The present Administration seems bent on continuing the internationalist policies of the previous two.

We are headed at breakneck speed toward world government, under which all American traditions and ideals would be lost.

And yet there is still time to save America—if enough people wake up.

For Above Maps Write To Common Sense

OPEN LETTER TO THE HON. HERBERT H. LEHMAN, WASHINGTON, D. C.

My dear Senator:

Only a few months ago, the Communist Government of Hungary, — as a result of a long drawn out negotiation between the two Governments — consented to and permitted the emigration of 195 Jews to Israel.

Radio Free Europe in Munich sent Imre Mikes to Italy, as its representative to interview these refugees from beyond the Iron Curtain. It delegated him to meet with these unfortunate victims of Communist tyranny and to hear from their own lips of the true conditions existing within the boundaries of the gigantic prison camp, which was once Christian Hungary before 1945, and which now is separated and cut off from the civilized world by miles of electrified fences and immense fields mined by explosives.

Imre Mikes is an excellent and experienced news-

paperman. He is a faithful Jew, one who never denied his race. His integrity cannot be questioned. For these reasons, Mikes cannot be accused of being a Fascist or being a hireling of the Fascists. On the contrary! After being a Marxist for a long time, Mikes is struggling valiantly now, inasmuch as his superiors permit him, against Bolshevism and Communism on Radio Free Europe.

Imre Mikes filed his report on his mass interview with these 195 Jewish emigrants. He said in effect: 1./ these Jewish refugees agreed that Anti-Semitism is rampant in Hungary. 2/ they also agreed that if by some act of fate, the Communist regime would happen to be removed and the old order of things return, very few Jews would be left alive. These facts both alarmed and surprised Mikes. He further questioned them for an explanation for this strong feeling and opposition of the Hungarian peasant against the people of your race especially when it appeared that Anti-Semitism had been definitely dealt with in 1945. Mikes himself wrote at that time: "In the punishment of War Criminals Hungary takes first place."

In his report Mikes further stated that these 195 Jewish emigrants fully agreed the reasons for the apparent anti-semitism in Hungary were: 1./ practically all key positions in the Communist system were held by members of the Jewish race. 2./ that members of the Directorate of Labor, the Officers Corps of AVO — political police —, the Bureau of Planning and various other important arms of government, the leaders of which constitute the present rulers of Hungary, are Jews, who by their arrogant and inhuman rule antagonize the people of Hungary and these in turn blame their misfortunes and persecutions on the Jewish people.

Dear Senator, we homeless Hungarians who wear the stamp of anti-semitism, we, and we alone bear witness, that the Hungarian people never were anti-semitic. If you had read the works of Jan and Jerome Tharaud, you must be aware of the fact, it was the Hungarian peasants who concealed the Jews, who escaped the hell of Russian Pogroms in the early 20th century. The Hungarian people were always friendly to the people of your race. When, on order of a foreign power, your people were being hunted like animals and deported from Hungary, the Hungarian people, the peasants and the middle classes were hiding them and protecting them at the peril of their own lives and security. Nicholas Horthy, because of his humanitarian belief, Ferenc Szalasi, because of his deep Catholic faith, saved countless thousands of them from certain death. Have you read, Senator, the sensational report which the People's Court made known on finding the leading member of the Arrow-Cross Party innocent of all charges? This man was in charge of all Jewish affairs. The People's Court found that the accused saved the lives of a half a million Jews both from the attacks of the roaming SS soldiers and from mobs of so called new-arrowists, who were then pouring into the city of Budapest with one purpose in mind, namely, to exterminate all Jews. This is an historical fact but one which for obvious reasons the press of the world ignored and failed to publish. Furthermore, Paul Szalay, the one who was in charge of Jewish affairs in Hungary, later was accepted by the police, and by order of Matthias Rakosi, he escorted some German Repatriates to Munich in 1946.


Dear Senator! Destiny has spared your homeland from our fate. You will never know what it means to have the terrorist Cheka make gloves and lamp shades of human skin; to have Emil Weil and his like inject hypodermics into the veins of a Cardinal, Catholic head of a thousand year old Christian Kingdom; to have innocent men hung and tormented and in the moments of their torments and pain to hear their executioners scream, "... executioner, take your time, prolong the agony and our enjoyment ...". These and similar bestial acts are being perpetrated often on orders of the 40,000 Communist members in the dominating bureaus, the AVO, etc. the rulers of Hungary, who are of your race. Because of their acts and inhuman treatment accorded to the populace the Hungarian people are becoming anti-semites.

My dear Senator! we, who wander around the world, innocent victims of your people's persecution, do not believe in and never will we advocate an all inclusive hatred toward the Jewish people. Potsdam, Nuremberg, most eloquent examples of collective punishment, is not our invention. However, we know that if conditions continue as they are and when the day of reckoning will arrive, bloody vengeance will be the fate not only of the Jewish people but that of our little peasants and common workers, too. The small party—secretary, who had the peasant owner of thirty acres deported; the denouncers, who for a mess of pottage informed on friends. The Jewry, comprising the higher strata of Communist Society, and the small functionary will suffer together!

Won't you please stand before the microphone of Radio Free Europe and relate to them all, both the rulers and those governed, the interview of Imre Mikes with the 195 Jews? Will you denounce those who are in high places of the Communist ruling class in Hungary, and condemn all acts of oppression committed by them upon our poor people? An assurance from you that the American Jews do condemn all injustice brought on by their misguided countrymen will, in a very large measure, aid us all in bringing the truth to our people and a fuller and better understanding of the true state of affairs. We will thus prove that those acts of oppression are the acts of the few and not those of Jewry as a whole, which the Communist propaganda machine would want the world to know in general and the Hungarian people in particular.

By such a condemnation of the acts of Communist followers of your race in Hungary you will I firmly believe, perform the greatest services to your country, America, to the world Jewry and for the cause of Hungary.

Very sincerely,



HAWAIIAN STATEHOOD

(Continued from Page 1)

Among other problems, one seems formidable. We could hardly by diplomatic means prevent the ships of the world from passing between one part of the new state and some other part, because the intervening body of water is open Pacific Ocean. Would not, then, an alien power's right to penetrate the territorial waters of the state of Hawaii be a precedent for the penetration of the waters of other states, for instance the Hudson and Mississippi River?

Finally there is the question of Communism. The proportion of Communists to the total population of Hawaii is said to be many times greater than is the case in Continental United States. These Communists are deeply infiltrated and powerful. Like the Communists of New York, these enemies of our country would propagandize and pressure their senators and hence wield tremendous power in Washington.

There appear to us to be no good reasons for admitting Hawaii, except to please the unofficial government referred to by Senator Jenner. On the contrary, as we have shown, there are many reasons for not granting Hawaii's admission, and giving throughout the future the privileges and immunities of United States Senators to persons who may understandably feel that their interests are more Asiatic than North American. This is the United States of America. Where do the geographical boundaries end?

A REAL CASE AGAINST THE JEWS

(Continued from Page 1)

You became our agents, carrying our gospel culture over the earth. Our national history is part of the learning of your holy men and scholars. Our heroes are your heroes.

Jewish artisans and Jewish fishermen are your teachers and saints. A Jewish maiden is your idea of motherhood and womanhood. We substituted our God and traditions for yours.

We did it almost by accident. Two thousand years ago a new patriot-idealist arose and went about the land aiming to purify and revitalize the old creeds which were fallen into decay and materialism. His followers were the poor. After his execution they formed themselves into a brotherhood of pacifist-resisters, a new sect, mostly in Judea.

Paul, a patriotic Jew, thought to humble the Roman power by destroying the morale of the soldiery by the doctrine of love and non-resistance. After four hundred years, the Empire was destroyed. The law of Zion became the official religion of Rome.

This was the beginning of our domination in your world. The three principal ideas of modern times are all the triumphs of the Jews, ideas of social, political and economic justice.

The end is not yet. We still dominate. Your churches are torn apart by war between fundamentalists and modernists, between those who cling to our teachings and traditions and those who are striving by slow degrees to dispossess us. And the Puritan heritage of Judea breaks out again and again in Blue Laws and national prohibition acts—and you twaddle about Jewish influence in the movies!

These quotations may be verified by consulting a copy of the Century Magazine in the public library.

TERRIBLE!

By REV. BOB SHULER SR.

(The following is reprinted from The Methodist Challenge — a militant Christian publication. The address, for those interested, is 1201 South Flower Street, Los Angeles 15, Cal. — Subscription rate, \$1.00 per year.)

Abraham Lincoln told us that if ever America was destroyed, it would be from within. Other great patriots of the past have pictured this deadly virus that may eat away our vitals as a Republic and cost us our life. But possibly the deadliest of them all has gone almost unnoticed.

Christ, speaking in the 16th chapter of Luke, tells of a man who deliberately played into the hands of men who might later have his destiny in their keeping. He refers to the wise strategy of such a man from a wordly viewpoint. The pivotal verse follows:

"Make to yourselves friends of the mammon of unrighteousness; that when ye fail, they may receive you. . . ."

A sect of devil worshippers have made this text the basis for their worship of Satan himself. It might surprise us how many million people in the world today are hanging their hope on this text.

In the day when Christ spoke, Rome held Israel in submission and the Jews were modified slaves. The strategy of thousands of Jews was to make friends of the Romans in every possible manner, outside of actual treason to their own people, thus courting favor. It was the wisdom of opportunism.

Thousands of newspapers and multitudes of commentators and other moulders of public sentiment are—some of them unconsciously—acting upon this terrible logic as I write. They are Americans—good Americans—so long as America has a chance to survive. But they have unconsciously assimilated the logic of this verse of Scripture. Their strategy has been to utilize the wisdom that Christ designated as of "the children of this world," and thereby prepare for an evil day if it should arrive.

They succumb to the desire to be received by the enemy, if the enemy should win. That was the terrific virus that ate all courage out of the hearts of the men of the Balkans and other fringe states, so easily conquered and made satellites by Soviet Russia. They adjusted themselves to slavery before it actually came. This is the danger we face in the fringe states about Red China. This is the dire threat in our own land.

Men and women who believe they love their native land are at this moment in the process of softening up and modifying their convictions, even to the searing of their own consciences, so that if Russia does win, they personally may not have so terrible a fall. Moreover, thousands of them actually believe that finally Russia will win. Therefore they justify this inner urge for personal security.

They know that men who openly fight Soviet Union as do Senator McCarthy and thousands of others, will be liquidated. They have been liquidated in every country taken over by Russia, Red China and their satellites. But though they would not concede it to themselves, something in them seems to say that there is hope of better treatment if they have been as least friendly or even not too unfriendly to Communist ideology.

No man searches his own soul until he discovers this fungus sentiment, without despising the pit out of which it came. But it is a swiftly developing disease of men's inner selves in America. It is the weakening, sapping, searing process by which we may die.

Thank God, the men who fought at Bunker Hill and Yorktown had no such diseased tissue in their souls. From their blood and broken bodies came our Republic. That their sons should be "making friends of the mammon of unrighteousness" is the bitterest revelation of this thrice-sick generation.

LOYAL and PATRIOTIC AMERICANS

give or sell at least
five subscriptions to

Common Sense

...AND HELP SAVE OUR REPUBLIC

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

RED HIGHLIGHTS

According to the Jewish Examiner and the Jewish Telegraph Agency U.S. Jews have raised \$1,000,000,000.00 within the past seven years . . . "for needs at home and abroad" . . . George Sokolsky's son, Eric, of Columbia Pictures converted to Catholicism . . . Hong Kong March 2, Six British business men returned from Red China to Hong Kong aboard the British ship Hanyang today saying they had lined up business with the Reds . . . Sunday.

On Sunday Feb. 20th Dr. John Sutherland Bonnell, pastor of the 5th Ave. Presbyterian Church, on the subject of the continuing radio series entitled "This I believe," a project of Edward R. Murrow and the Columbia Broadcasting System, Rev. Bonnell stated, "Only half of the 200 contributors believe in God. Twenty seven class themselves as humanists. Fifty six make no slightest mention of God. A half dozen deny the reality of God. It is very strange that only 11 of the 200 affirm faith in morality. Even more strange is the fact that of these 200, the majority of whom are nominally Christian, less than 10 mention faith in Christ."

* * *

The U.N. has a new 20 minute propaganda film featuring the activities of the U.N. Children's Fund (Unicef) in Asia. The film is a production by Danny Kaye (David Daniel Kaminski) who belonged to at least two Communist Fronts; Committee For The First Amendment and Hollywood Independent Citizens Committee for Arts, Sciences and Professions . . . New York: Rabbi David B. Hollander, president, Rabbinical Council of America, has called upon the armed forces to make provisions for Kosher kitchens in installations which maintain Jewish chaplains . . . The Walter Baking Company Division of General Foods recently went Kosher . . . Mogen David means Star of David.

According to the Yalta documents President Roosevelt told Stalin that he was a Zionist and asked if Stalin was one. Stalin replied that he was one in principle but he recognized the difficulty. Winston Churchill has stated over and over that he was a Zionist, so there you are . . . The Board of Rabbis of Southern California went on record against loyalty oaths last February, 55, and advised all religious body to refuse to sign them.

* * *

. . . . The Indochina war cost the United States about two billion dollars . . . Western diplomats are trying to convince themselves they have won a victory by giving the communists another ten million people . . .

It is dangerous to shrink from unpleasant things and so be blind to facts because they are disconcerting; truth is often uncomfortable to complacent people!

JOE MUST GO—ON

(Tune: The Caissons Song)

Joe must go, Joe must go,
Joe must go on fighting,
Till the Reds and the Pinks are all gone.

In his fight for the right,
We must help with all our might,
Till the Reds and the Pinks are all gone.

Let's get "Out with Joe" to protect our land,
Help him to make our army stand.

So it's "Joe must go" till he wins the fight,
Till the Reds and the Pinks are all gone.
Till the Reds and the Pinks are all gone.

Joe can go, Joe can go,
Joe can go on fighting,
So our country can always be great.

Joe can win, Joe can win,
If he knows we're back of him
In his fight for the truth and right.

Let's get "Out with Joe" make the traitors go.
Hold high our country's glorious name.
And with God's help we can rout the foe,
So our nation can always be great.
So our nation can always be great.

—D. E. KOLB

(Copyright, 1954, D. E. Kolb)

Permission to use freely given.

Lunacharsky, former Commissar of public Education in Soviet Russia says:

"WE HATE CHRISTIANITY AND CHRISTIANS. Even the best of them must be looked upon as our worst enemies. They preach love to one's neighbors and mercy, which is contrary to our principles. Christian love is an obstacle to the development of the revolution. Down with love of our neighbors. What we want is hatred. We must learn to hate, and it is only then that we shall conquer the world."

Fallacy Of Rationing And Controls

"Liberty under the Constitution of the Republic of the United States of America can be preserved only by enlightened and articulate citizens"

Why is the President being asked to request the Congress for 'stand-by' authority to impose rationing, price and wage controls if, when or as deemed advisable by him? Does the advice emanate from the self-imposed advisors to the President who tried to get Truman to retain and later reinstate World War II regulations, and have been hounding President Eisenhower to request legislative authority for inaugurating controls during the past two years.

It is quite obvious that there is a conspiracy comprised of self-designated intellectual imperialists who are bent on substituting a slavish bureaucracy for our system which was designed to establish and maintain a free economy. Enactment of the proposed law simply would be another step on a path that leads to economic serfdom. A review of the experiences during World War II prompts the conclusion that the purpose of the controls administered by the sinister imposters was not for the protection of producers and consumers. The plan unquestionably was designed to neutralize the Constitutional functions of our government by regimenting everyone and everything under the dictatorial authority and fluctuating policies of a coterie of obstinate and arrogant men and women of doubtful probity.

It has been reported repeatedly that the proposed regulations were written and the rationing books printed more than a year ago. Volunteers from the legal profession are available for an army of parasites to administer the regulations. The controls could be clamped on the public whenever the President invoked the 'stand-by' authority. Any slight flare-up could be used as an excuse for curtailing the freedom of every man and woman, including farmers, shippers, workers, packers, traders, canners, manufacturers, wholesalers, retailers, consumers as well as other business and industrial enterprises. The President has deferred action because of his desire to keep citizens free from an all-powerful government. Pressure on the President by the diabolical conspirators would be tremendous should such a law be enacted.

READER'S OPINION

Conde McGinley:

You are the bravest man I've ever known for anyone to risk life and reputation to oppose the Communist Jews editorially against their attempt to enslave the world is almost unheard of. For years I've searched for a paper that exposes this menace and yours is the only one I've found. I've seen brave men die in two wars so that the Protocols of Zion could be fulfilled and now approaches another great conflict so that anyone can kill anyone and the Jews triumph. I am sending you my W.W. 2 Victory Medal as I said before I've seen many a brave man die but you deserve this more than I or those who have died. You are fighting and giving voice and direction to thousands of Americans who know something in our government is radically wrong but haven't figured it out as yet.

Most respectfully yours,

—J. C.—Ohio

December 31, 1954

House Un-American Activities Committee

Gentlemen:

With reference to the article "Exposing Fascists and 'Hate' Groups In U. S.", December 31st issue of U. S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT, I would like to state the following:

Why don't you look at the records of the convicted Communists and traitors to America, as well as the known Communists, and regard their names—Rosenberg, Greenglass, White (Weiss), Silvermaster, Hiss, etc. etc.—and then apologize to Mr. Conde McGinley for interfering with his work to destroy these conspirators? Facts are facts and all the committees in the world can't change them and the good committees wouldn't try!

Very truly yours,

—D. S.—Texas

January 5, 1955.

House Un-American Activities Committee

Gentlemen:

There are many good Americans who are possibly not as out-spoken as Conde McGinley, but who are still opposed to the conspiracy against our Constitutional form of Government. You and your committee have no right to even intimate these people are anti-Semitic. These same good Americans are for returning to our Constitutional form of Government and for regaining many of the liberties we have lost. You and your committee, also, have no right to intimate these good people are neo-Nazi and neo-Fascists.

Very truly yours,

—T. G.—Texas

THE TRUTH TOO UGLY TO PRINT

Dallas, Texas.

Hon. Harold Velde, Chairman,
House Un-American Activities Committee,
House Office Building,
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

I read with much interest the report of your committee "Exposing fascists and 'hate' groups in the U. S., as reported in the Dec. 31, issue of U. S. News & World Report.

First let me say that I have always considered you as one of the conservative, "America First" Senators along with Senator McCarthy, Senator Dirksen, et al. and have always put much confidence in what you have to say. However, I must admit that I am somewhat in the dark as to your findings in this report. Was it the intent of your report to infer that Mr. McGinley's paper "Common Sense" is printing lies and distorting the facts or is it the opinion of this committee that regardless of whether he is printing the truth or not the truth is TOO UGLY to print.

Your report seems to condemn Mr. McGinley for having "A shrewd and growing business although apparently subscriptions do not substantiate a very large amount of growth. I wouldn't say a \$30,000 a year business was very remunerative. Especially in view of the fact that the conspiracy which he is fighting has untold millions at its disposal. I note that you criticize his support of the late Robert H. Best, convicted of supposed treason. I was not familiar with the case but I got the information that he was a foreign correspondent in Europe and got into trouble when the propaganda for war on Germany began by naming F. D. R. and the Jews as the principal forces in pushing the United States into war. In this connection, I would like to refer you to Chapters III and IV of Dr. John Beaty's Book "The Iron Curtain Over America" in which he states that "Roosevelt injected life into the sinking body of world Communism by giving full diplomatic recognition to Soviet Russia on Nov. 16, 1933, etc. Dr. Beaty could hardly be called a crack-pot or fascist. He served in the U. S. Military Intelligence Service of the War Department General Staff during World War II. He was one of the two editors of the daily secret "G-2" Reports which were issued each noon to give persons in high places, including the White House, the world picture as it existed some four hours earlier. In 1943 he was made chief of the Interview Section. For your information, I am forwarding you a copy of this well-documented Pro-American book.

You state "Anti-semitism is the chief stock in trade of 'Common Sense.'" What is Anti-Semitism? If the things that Mr. McGinley prints in his paper are incorrect or false his paper should be stopped—if they are true we should all get down on our knees but quick and ask Our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ for His forgiveness for consorting so long with His enemy. Is it anti-semitism to say Nathan Silvermaster was a Jew? Is it anti-semitism to say Gerhardt Eisler was a Jew? The Rosenbergs? Karl Marx? I don't understand the double-talk we all get today. If a Baptist is accused of being a spy you aren't anti-baptist to say he was a Baptist or a Catholic spy a Catholic and so-just the JEW. One dare not say anything about a Jew.

Don't you think you would be doing the American people a greater service if you called Mr. McGinley before your committee and asked him to present his PROOF of the things he is printing. I notice most newspapers are VERY careful not to print anything that might involve them in a libel suit and I am at a loss to understand WHY Mr. McGinley has not been sued by many, many people, UNLESS he IS telling the truth. He names, names, dates and places. He is either wrong or right. I would like to know.

Asking God to guide you in the difficult task you have undertaken, I am,

Sincerely yours,

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly at

530 Chestnut Street, Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

Entered as Second Class Matter January 27, 1948 at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the act of March 3, 1879

THE JEW ON THE JOB

(A FABLE)

"Once upon a time a gang of rats holed up in a village and began to take over, and the village was in a pretty bad way. So, the people got together and selected a head man who knew a lot about the habits of rats, and said to him, 'Go to it and clean 'em out!' The head man got himself a whoppin' big club and started swinging. The first few he laid out had long tails and rat whiskers, so everybody agreed they must have been rats.

"Then, some of the people got excited and said: 'Hold on there—we are in favor of what you are doing, but we don't like your 'methods'. You're too vicious with that club; you might hit some poor innocent guinea pigs who were dumb enough to hole up with the rats. You gotta use due caution and due process!

"But, every time the head man would holler down a rat hole and say 'Are you a rat?' all the rats would snarl back, 'I refuse to answer on the grounds it might incriminate me!' So, the head man went back to his club swinging, and the little group of people who didn't know much about rats, began to raise quite a ruckus, and before long all the people were throwing clubs at each other. THE RATS? Well, they just sat back in their holes and chuckled.

"MORAL: There ain't no rule book on rat killin'."

TEN OBJECTIVES OF INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT

1—The Federal Reserve System, owned and operated by the International Bankers for their own profit, who thus control the finances of our country. It was Anslem Rothchild who declared: "Let me control the finances of a country and I care not who makes the laws."

"Congress shall have power to coin money and regulate the value thereof."

2—Universal Military Service, intended to destroy the mental independence and initiative of our youth by militarizing their minds.

3—To destroy the separation of church and state prescribed by the Constitution and so to bring the church under state direction. "Congress shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion."

4—Food Adulteration—to destroy the physical health of our people by depriving them of the mineral salts and vitamins necessary to health. Also by adding harmful materials to cereals as "refining" or "reinforcing."

5—Socialized Medicine which would give power to the government over the health of the people.

6—Fluoridation of the public water supplies for the purpose of destroying the health—mental and physical—of the people under pretense of benefit to children's teeth. Also to provide the way for mass destruction of the people, by means of turning in lethal amounts of the poisonous fluorides by tampering with the machinery.

7—Excessive Taxation—to annoy us with ever-increasing tax levies—reducing available spending money. In truth, the Government steals from the people's pay check without consent of the people.

8—United Nations—which deceives the people with promises of working for world harmony while actually carrying on war under its own flag. Both Generals MacArthur and Ridgway were forbidden to end the war. UN would also destroy our national sovereignty by bringing our nation under dictation of representatives of other nations.

9—State Socialism—to destroy freedom of choice by building up ever more and more power in the government.

10—Continued war to build up militarism and imperialism, destroying lives in mass numbers and debauching morality.

All these are part of the intention to destroy the freedoms guaranteed by our Federal Constitution and to substitute totalitarianism, the aim of the Communist.

—M. S. ALDERTON, California.

TRUTH THAT SHOCKS

The reason COMMON SENSE shocks many people and, at first, appears fantastic is due to the fact that people are not accustomed to the printed truth. For at least twenty-five years, newspapers have been restrained from printing and distributing facts, because newspapers must have profits, which means advertising. Who owns the stores that run page ads in your papers? Who owns radio and television and movies?

Can The UN Be Defended

Dr. R. Otto Nall, Editor
Christian Advocate
740 Rush Street
Chicago, Illinois

Dear Dr. Nall:

It is stated editorially on Page 3 of Christian Advocate for October 21, that the Revs. Ralph W. Sockman and Charles F. Boss, Jr., will give forthright answers to a number of questions about United Nations in an article starting on Page 6.

First of the questions posed editorially is, "Although it is supported by a majority of the American people, the United Nations is violently opposed by many. Why?"

I found the article easily enough, but I could not find in it any attempt to answer this question. It is stated that UN is violently opposed by isolationists and persons whose interests run counter to the aims of UN. This is an unsatisfactory answer to the question, "Who opposes?" but is no kind of an answer to "Why?"

When the article argues positively that UN should be supported, the presentation is equally lacking of anything tending to convince.

The natural assumption is that this article is given feature position in a denominational organ because church people are, or should be, particularly interested.

Authors of the article go along with this assumption, 100%. They declare that "Christians particularly should be participants in everything that will strengthen the United Nations. This is true, they write, because the UN 'may be considered as a counterpart, an earthly instrument of the Kingdom of God, international machinery through which men of good will, seeking to advance brotherhood, justice and peace on earth, can work toward the accomplishment of these purposes.'"

And, rounding out this argument, the authors write that "The United Nations, like all human institutions, must be judged, Christians believe, by the ethic derived from our faith in the love of good and from the life and teachings of Jesus of Nazareth."

Since UN is recommended to us as an "earthly instrument of the kingdom of God," an early test of the argument for support of UN must be, is the kingdom of God earthly and in need of earthly instruments? So, please, let us have some scriptural support, something from the New Testament bearing on this. And not just some vague generality to the effect that of course it must be so. No, give us something to counteract or perhaps explain away the clear statement of the Master: "My kingdom is not of this world." (John 18:36).

Preparatory to judging UN by the standard of the ethics of the New Testament teaching, as recommended by the minister-authors, let us set down what UN participation requires.

As a participant in the UN, America, through its representatives, associates with, consults with, and pretends to bargain with Soviet Union, knowing full well that the Soviets never yield anything and that every concession must come from the US. Also America enters into contracts with Soviet Union, knowing full well that the Soviets honor no contracts.

Can such association and collaboration with an atheist Government within the framework of a non-Christian organization (UN) be defended as within the provisions of the Christian ethic? If you believe so, let us have some scriptural references, something from the New Testament bearing on such a situation. No more generality to the effect that of course we must accept things as they are will answer. Give us something to counteract, or perhaps explain away the clear scriptural admonition "Wherefore touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you." (2 Corinthians 6:17).

Very sincerely,

VERNE P. KAUB, President
American Council of Christian Laymen

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500

One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50

First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year

FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly at

530 Chestnut St. Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

HELP US TO HELP YOU!

Common Sense* is a non-profit, non-sectarian, non-political enterprise engaged exclusively in the task of informing the American people of the dangers which threaten our Republic and the established institutions of our kind of civilization.

TO WIN YOUR FIGHT FOR YOU WE NEED VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PUBLIC SPIRITED FRIENDS

*If for business, professional, social or political considerations, or any other personal reason, it is more desirable to make your contribution anonymously, just pin a \$10. or \$5. or \$1. bill to this coupon and mail! It will be an added "straw" by which you help to "break the camel's back!"

OBEY THAT IMPULSE!

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office.